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1. Reporting from the school on the pre-program mapping.
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3. Reporting from the school that the students chosen for the program have been identified as having no chance to successfully pass their matriculation exams in the obstacle subjects blocking their matriculation, or that they have already failed in those subjects.
4. Reporting from the school on the grade level of the participants, and the distribution of students as either the target population or as supplemental students.
5. Reporting from the school on the type of program the school is running - regular or integrated and independent.
6. Reporting from the school on all the participants that began the program and all the participants that either finished or did not finish the program.
7. Reporting from the school on the final grades on the difficult matriculation exam subjects at the end of the program.
8. Reporting from the school on the eligibility or non-eligibility for matriculation certificates among the $12^{\text {th }}$ graders in the program in 2015 as well as the students who joined the program in the previous year (2014) as $11^{\text {th }}$ graders and continued through to the end of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade.
C. I hereby confirm that the data, as submitted by the schools regarding the participants in the Yeholot Last Hurdle program for Matriculation, 2015, has been statistically analyzed properly and that the data, as presented in this report, has been inspected and approved by us.

Sincerely
STolk 130
Prof. Yizhar Oflacta

CC: Professor David Meyudosar - Head of the School of Education, Tel Aviv University

## Part A - Primary Results

## A. 1 - Results of the Schools Participating in the Program in 2015

1. In 2015, the program included 1,631 participants, from $11^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ grade in 40 high schools across 30 peripheral towns. The average "Care Index" (Strauss) 3 of the 40 participating schools was 7.94.
2. The participants were students who had previously failed and/or were identified by their schools as not likely to pass the matriculation exams in 1 to 3 subjects, or had already failed (in the majority of cases it was usually one particularly obstacle subject preventing full completion) 4 which was blocking them from earning a matriculation certificate. Some of them were supplemental students who had more failures subjects and they joined the program, knowing that even if they pass these exams they will not necessarily be eligible for the matriculation certificate.
3. $99.8 \%$ of the participants who began their studies in the program made it to the end $(0.2 \%$ dropped out -4 participants).
4. $92.3 \%$ of the participants ( 1,505 participants out of 1,631 ), passed the matriculation exams in their obstacle subject.
5. The average final grade in the obstacle subject for the 1,505 students who passed was 70.6 (the average final grade for all the students, including those who did not pass, was 69.35).
6. $85.8 \%$ ( 853 out of 994 ) of the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade participants with an obstacle subject in the program in 2015 who were expected to earn a matriculation certificate as a result of participating, earned their certificate and passed their obstacle subject within the framework of the program.
$71-12^{\text {th }}$ grade participants who belonged to the supplemental group (out of a total of 108 in this group) earned their matriculation certificates following successfully passing their exam within the framework of the program.
7. $81.7 \%$ ( 267 out of 327 ) of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade participants with an obstacle subject, or those who participated in the previous school year (2014) and graduated $12^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2015, earned a matriculation diploma in 2015, as a result of successfully completing the exams in their obstacle subject.
30-11 th grade participants in 2014 who belonged to the supplemental group (out of a total of 54) completed $12^{\text {th }}$ grade and earned a matriculation certificate as a result of successfully passing their obstacle subject.
A total of 297-11 $1^{\text {th }}$ grade participants in 2014 earned their matriculation certificate at the end of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2015.
8. In 2015, the program produced a total of 1,063 students who earned their matriculation certificate. 7
[^0]
## A. 2 - Results after Training and Implementation, Ran the Program Independently in their School

1. 17 schools (out of a total of 40 participating schools) across 10 towns, which went through a process of training and implementation, ran the program independently with their own budget.
245 students participated in the program in this format across $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade.
2. $99.2 \%$ of the participants who began their studies in the program took part until the end ( $0.8 \%$ dropout rate 2 participants).
3. $92.7 \%$ of the participants ( 227 out of 245 ) successfully passed their obstacle subject.
4. The average final grade for the obstacle subject across all the participants in the independent school programs (including those who did not pass) was 68.6.



## Clarification:

A. The data in this report also shows the percentage of those earning matriculation certificates from among all the participating students who passed the matriculation exam in the $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{3}$ obstacle subjects within the framework of the program. These subjects were already determined as problematic before entering the program, based on their schools' assessment, and were preventing the students from earning their matriculation certificate, as they had already failed these subjects or were predicted to do so.
B. The activities of the Yeholot Program for Matriculation Exams - Last Hurdle and the success of the students on the test/s in their obstacle subjects were often in parallel with other complimentary multiyear programs carried out with participating students in their schools, usually before or after participating in the program, and sometimes concurrently, which also helped the students in the rest of the exam subjects.
C. The high success rates of the program were accomplished by the teaching staffs of the participating schools who were trained by, and received pedagogic guidance from, the Yeholot staff. This demonstrates our belief, which has now become accepted knowledge and a fundamental, strategic, educational component, that teachers can succeed with every student.
D. As noted in this report, after the training, a portion of schools implemented and ran the program independently (with full funding from their own resources). This demonstrates that the Accelerated Method for the Reduction of Learning Gaps, which has proven its success with students, teachers and schools, lends itself to integration into schools.

## Part B - Data and Definitions

1. So as to fully assess the data from the program, reports were collected from all the participating schools in 2015, which included data on the aspects of the program, the participants, and the results according to the following breakdown:

- Reports from the schools on the pre-implementation mapping.
- Reports from the schools regarding which obstacle subjects to include, the suitability and number of participants, the size of the groups in the program, etc...
- Reports from the schools that the students who were chosen were identified by the school as lacking any chance of passing the matriculation exams in their obstacle subject and/or have already failed in that subject.
- Reports from the schools on the level of the classes and participants, and the sorting of the students into either the primary target population or the supplemental group.
- Reports from the schools about the type of program they are implementing - regular or independent.
- Reports from the schools on the participants who began, and all the participants who either finished, or didn't finish, and their studies in the program (ongoing).
- Reports from the schools on the grades on the exam in the obstacle subject.
- Reports from the schools on the eligibility or non-eligibility for a matriculation certificate for the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students in 2015, and the students who participated in the program in the previous year (2014) as $11^{\text {th }}$ graders and in the current year (2015) graduated in $12^{\text {th }}$ grade.

2. In 2015, there were 40 participating schools, of which the report represents data from all of them.
3. This report also includes the data from the Achva High School in Yarka which included another, more complicated target audience in the program.

## 4. Definitions:

a. Successfully Passing the Matriculation Exam: A student who was reported by the school administration to have received a 55 or higher on his/her final grade.
b. Eligible for Matriculation Certificate: A student who was reported by the school administration as eligible for a Matriculation Certificate as recognized by the Ministry of Education.
c. Participants: Total number of participants, including the double count of students who participated in more than one activity. (The program, depending on the subject and required number of hours, works on a semester basis, and in a few cases, trimester basis. Therefore, there may be students who participate in more than one activity throughout the year).
d. Students: The number of participants, not including the double count of students participating in more than one activity.
e. Student with an "Obstacle Subject": A student who, according to the pre-program mapping, was defined as predicted to pass the matriculation exams in all but $1-2$ subjects, which would then prevent their eligibility for earning a matriculation certificate. In the majority of cases we are talking about one obstacle subject (see Note 1).
f. Supplemental Student: A student who, according to the pre-program mapping, was defined as predicted to fail 3 or more subjects, preventing his/her being eligible for a matriculation certificate; but even if they did pass, it was already known ahead of time that passing these exams would not necessarily guarantee their eligibility for the matriculation certificate.

In the Tables that appear in this report, the numerical data refers to the number of participants in the program, except when calculating the number of students eligible for the matriculation certificate. This is because there are students who participated in more than one activity, and to properly measure the success of the program, it was necessary to calculate the percentage of all the participants in the program.
Furthermore, to prevent too much statistical deviation, when calculating the eligibility for matriculation certificates, we calculated the number of participants as well as the number of actual students in the program, without the double count of those participating in more than one activity. This is why we are using the terms - participants (total participants, including the double count of those participating in more than one activity) and students (total individuals who participated in the program, without the double count).

## All data is confidential and was collected for processing and calculating purposes only.

The received data, the statistical processing, the data in the report, and the final report were checked and approved by the staff of the Tel Aviv University School of Education, led by Prof. Yizhar Oplacta and with the cooperation of Mrs. Idit Livne.

## Part C - Goals and Target Population

1,631 participants from $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade, from 40 high schools across 30 towns, who previously failed matriculation exams or who were determined by the school to have little chance of passing the exams, ultimately preventing their eligibility for a matriculation certificate. Also some more students that are supplemental students with more than one obstacle subject.

These participants will learn for these exams according to Yeholot's Accelerated Method for the Reduction of Learning Gaps (Educational Campaign)8, and will fully pass the matriculation exams in their obstacle subjects.
Participating schools and towns are broken down in Table 8.

## Mapping and Identifying Participants, the Subjects for Study, and the Size of the Study Groups

1. The mapping was conducted by each participating school among $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students, to determine the obstacle subjects and to locate the potential students for the program.

An obstacle subject was determined as one with a minimum of 12 students for whom the subject was preventing their eligibility for a matriculation certificate (and 8 students in groups which operated the program independently) according to the results of the mapping.
As noted, the potential students for the program are those who were determined by the mapping as having already failed one of the obstacle subjects, or those who had not yet taken the exam but were predicted by the administration to fail.
The students in the program were chosen, as noted above, such that the only criterion for acceptance into the program was the results of the mapping.
2. Accompanying these students in the program were supplemental students for whom there was an additional obstacle subject or subjects, such that the number of participating students in a learning group would be 20 25 for each subject in each school (groups that operated in the independently run programs contained 8-15 students). These supplemental students knew before beginning the program that even if they passed the obstacle subject, they still may not be eligible for their matriculation certificate.
As noted, in the program there were 1,631 participants in $11^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ grade, according to the following breakdown:

- 1,390 participants fulfilled the acceptance criterion:

994 participants in $12^{\text {th }}$ grade
396 in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade

- The remaining 241 participants (from $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade) are supplemental students.
(The complete breakdown of participants according to the mapping and supplemental for 2015 is found in Table 9).


## Part D - Methodology

[^1]Beginning in 1997, the method was also successfully tested with high-school students in tracks that are not necessarily the lowest but who had failed in 1 or 2 subjects.

Therefore, despite no longer working only with students in lower academic tracks, the current program still utilizes the original methodology based on its fundamental premise and original target population, for all the students it now includes.
A. Except for a tiny percentage of exceptions, anyone can succeed in school and reach meaningful academic achievements. The cognitive ability required for success in school is within reach of anyone who is not mentally handicapped. There are students who may require more help than others and/or a different approach and/or a different learning pace, but in one way or another, every child can succeed in school. These assumptions are also the basis for the Seizer's programs (See Sheren, Shachar and Levin, 1988, and Levin, 1997, et al), and others.
B. Every September, thousands of students begin $1^{\text {st }}$ grade, excited, motivated, and with all the combined enthusiasm of their parents and siblings. This excitement is accompanied with great expectations -for academic success, happiness, new knowledge, self-fulfillment, maximizing cognitive potential, and success in school paving the way for future success in life. However, as we know, among some students and parents, these hopes and dreams vanish within a few weeks or months, as they began to accumulate consecutive and continuous academic failures. These failures are usually public and daily, as expressed through quizzes and/or tests of all kinds (even if informal), and across a range of subjects. These failures are often reflected as grades or report cards, and are presented to the parents who themselves had just recently been hopeful. Despite this these failures, students who have still not mastered basic skills will move up to $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade, $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade, etc... continually accruing greater and greater failures. These students are labeled by the system "underachievers" or other, worse names. These students, because of their continuing series of failures, find themselves trapped in a false perception that their ability for any kind of achievement is low. This distorted view develops in an ongoing process, year after year, one failure after another. This "false consciousness" is transferred to their peer groups, parents, teachers, school administration, and more. This is how the symbolic interactionism process develops - over which the "underachieving" student has no control, as he is caught in the vicious cycle, which gets stronger with each failure, leading to the continuous erosion of any motivation for learning and ultimately to despair.
Usually, in the wake of this early cycle, these students are routed into the lowest educational tracks in middle and high schools, which lack any orientation toward a promising and relevant future, transmit low expectations, and reinforce that they are indeed "slow." As a result of this, and as a result of the deepening lack of overall academic motivation, the learning gap between underachievers and successful students gets wider and wider until it is virtually impossible to narrow, except with unique and complicated methods. For example, experience has shown that the gap between students in the lowest track and the second track in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade math is usually significantly greater than a year's worth of disparity.
C. This "false consciousness" in which these students are trapped contradicts precisely what the school expects of them (to be an active student and to strive for success). This constant contradiction develops a cognitive dissonance for the underachievers which requires them to utilize a series of rationalizations to escape from it. This can manifest in non-conformist behavior, declaring that school is unnecessary or worse, secretly
dropping out, and in some cases, following a pro-con analysis (Boudon, 1974), also completely dropping out of school. All this mostly occurs in the lowest tracks, usually between $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade. Consequently, this lowest track becomes the "warehouse" for future dropouts, and for social deviants, as an illegitimate alternative path for academic success that wasn't fulfilled, and to achieve legitimate goals (Marton, 1984), and as a cycle of "reaction formation" (Cohen, 1967) which explains the anger, alienation, and revenge on the system and the difficult behavior of those belonging to this "criminal sub-culture." (ibid.)
On the individual level, this process of accumulating failures from such early stages of their education and of continually being relegated to the lowest tracks, depresses and frustrates the students, with long term consequences on the subjective self-perception of his/her abilities (as well as the perception of others). It also affects their social status and future employability as a result of the lack of upward mobility within the educational system and introduces a sense of impending doom already from a young age. In addition, this depression affects the parents who often blame themselves for their children's failures.
D. According to much of the research (e.g., see Hearn, 1990), the reasons for scholastic failure (as often expressed through dropping out of school) are primarily not cognitive, rather they are: sociological, cultural, psychological, social, systemic, and organizational and can be roughly divided into two components:

1. Independent variables within the school - such as, the school structure as composed of the tracks and groups (Sevirsky, 1990), which leads to the "cocoon effect" (in contrast to Pygmalion effect), of a "shallow" and irrelevant curricula which lacks a challenging, future-looking orientation, creates low expectations, stunts the existing cognitive potential of the students, and leads to failure. Similarly, there is the notion of "anonymity" that Seizer pointed out (see also, Sheren et. al., 1988), and others.
2. Independent variables outside the school - these are reasons that the school often relates to as beyond their control, such as the influence of the students' living environment, their nominal obligations towards parents and family, a general lack of support and low expectations, lack of positive role models, emotional reasons resulting from the personality of the student and/or the circumstances of life.
E. We believe that breaking the cycle of the school failing the students, and the students failing at school, and significantly increasing the possibilities for academic success by universal standards, requires holistically working in 4 parallel areas as represented in the Accelerated Method for the Reduction of Learning Gaps ("Educational Campaign"):
3. It is necessary to eradicate the "consciousness of failure" which holds the students hostage (as well as their parents, teachers, school staff, and peers), and which leads them to believe they are unable to ever succeed academically. This will be accomplished through updated teaching methods (especially in the subjects that are considered difficult, such as math), leading these students into a cycle of achievement, as assessed by universal measurements (such as matriculation exams, standardized tests, etc.). These new learning processes should take place within relatively short periods of time, with a strong push and effort by the student. They should employ the process of internal "locus of control" within the student, initiating a new cycle of academic success, all the while engaging the student in a dialogue to convey the link between effort and success.
The successes achieved in this short period of time play a pivotal and tangible role in forming a new consciousness of success, which is strikingly different than the previous mindset, and which indicates to the student that they alone are able to succeed.
4. It is important that the activities provide a holistic and structured response to the variables within the school which lead to academic failure. For example, the curriculum should be challenging and relevant, such that success can offer upward mobility through the social and academic structure the school (groups, tracks), and that the school administration and teaching staff provide their full and genuine support. Through these activities, we establish relevant and challenging goals for the student, sending the message of high expectations.
5. This activity will also provide structured and holistic solutions to the variables external to the school which lead to academic failures. These include responding to the emotional needs of the students via a role model (coordinator) who will develop a deep, emotional connection with the student and will become a figure she
can identify with, look up to, and be an address for every problem and issue. It will also create a system of "diffuse" relationships (as opposed to specific) between the teaching staff and the students who will continually broadcast high expectations of the student.
To make this possible, it is necessary to focus on a limited number of subjects with a relatively small number of students.

The parents should also be partners, giving their full consent to every aspect of the process. The learning will take place in a group format, wherein the learning groups will also become reinforcing social groups, competing with the influence of their "peers" which can often contradict the goals of the process; all of this in the context of respect and cultural pluralism.
4. As a result of the dramatic learning gaps among these students, this updated process, geared towards challenging and relevant goals, will bring a measurable reduction in these gaps after only a few years. Therefore, the only way to reduce the learning gaps is with accelerated learning of a relatively large amount of material in a relatively small amount of time and done under highly motivational conditions at the individual and group level (i.e., students, staff, parents, and school administration).

This process is not a "quick" fix. It is a highly effective process requiring devoted, consistent time over a short period of time. Therefore, learning will also take place in the afternoons and evenings as well as vacation days. The learning process will include applying various individual and group motivational processes before and during the learning, including drama, breaking the routine, changing the learning environment, and focusing on a limited number of subjects.

Running all of the above 4 main components in their entirety, creates the accelerated learning from a synergetic, unconventional flow of renewed and intensified learning, and enables much more effective learning compared to the regular system, even with students who do not believe in their own ability to succeed. The students move from a state of academic dysfunction back into the cycle of effective learning.

## F. Additional, Ongoing, Pedagogical Principles Positively Influencing the Outcomes:

- Determined and results oriented thinking
- A cycle of academic success for each student, in each session, as a part of increasing the relevancy and challenge in their academics
- Obligation and responsibility of the staff for results
- Ongoing, personal follow up
- Staff work
- Increasing the opportunities for success
- Flexible learning times

The Accelerated Method for the Reduction of Learning Gaps ("Educational Campaign") of Yeholot was developed out of a recognition of the importance and implications for acquiring higher education in general, and a high school matriculation diploma in particular; the happiness, employment and future social standing of the individual student, as well as strengthening the image of the school and community. The method is an unconventional response for improving academic success and fulfilling the student's personal potential, especially among the group who feel themselves "inadequate" as a result of their many academic "failures" accumulated across so many subjects. This includes the students that the school places in the lowest tracks or who fully dropout, as well as those for whom just 1 or 2 subjects are blocking their eligibility for a full matriculation certificate (some of our students are actually in the high academic tracks).

In practice, the "Educational Campaign" is a "structural change", carried out by a new, smaller group at the school level, working holistically according to the following principles:

- A preliminary, motivational process conducted at the individual and group levels, among the students, parents, teachers, administration, and community members.
- Ongoing, motivational activities throughout the entire life of the program.
- Focusing on one subject only (or a very limited number subjects).
- A "Pygmalion" learning program (not forgiving!) which is relevant and accompanied by appropriate disciplining and clear and pre-agreed upon measurements.
- The "Educational Campaign" (or "secondary campaign") should be up to $4-6$ weeks.
- "Accelerated," focused learning, accompanied by breaking the routine, "dramatization," and refreshing the learning environment.
- "Integrating the circles" of the significant partners in the program.
- "Publicizing" the successes.
- "Results" oriented thinking and learning.
- Flexibility and altering the "norm."
- "Never alone" - ensuring the students know they have constant and consistent support from the leadership, as well as from the members in their learning group.
- Transforming the learning group into their social group.
- Continuous individualized tracking (daily and periodic "dynamic mapping").
- Constantly "reducing the gaps."
- Learning and exercises in real time - no homework (at least not in phase 1).
- Cancelling/reducing the feeling of "anonymity" through small group learning.
- Focusing on only one or a on a very limited amount of subjects.
- The coordinator/educator becoming their "significant other" through the broad scope of "diffuse" interactions and relationships with the students.
- Intensive personal and "diffuse" interactions between the teaching staff and the students.
- Genuine "daily successes" from the first day of class ("tests for success").
- "Staff work" - coordinator, teachers, and examiners.
- "Flexible personal time".
- Continuous, external monitoring of academic achievements.
- Continuously testing the execution of the plan and refining it in real time.
- Creating leaders and leadership from the staff and the school principal.


## Coordination, Teaching and Practice in the Yeholot Last Hurdle Program

In 2015, the program operated in cooperation with the Ministry of Education in the Southern, Northern and Haifa Districts, in a five-year plan in the Bedouin sector, in the Arab and Druze sectors, with the education departments in the cities and villages, and under the leadership of the participating schools.
Coordination of the program - all the coordinators in all schools are themselves participating school teachers.
Teaching - performed by the school teachers. In some extenuating cases they are external teachers.
Disciplinary instruction - by the professional/teaching coordinator in the participating schools.
Practice - college students.

## Pedagogic Instruction and Training

Pedagogic instruction - by the Yeholot pedagogic instructors.
Training - the program coordinators will participate in the Coordinator Course to learn the methods in Yeholot's Accelerated Program for Reducing Learning Gaps and will receive regular guidance from Yeholot's pedagogic instructors throughout the program.
All the members of the teaching/instruction staff and the disciplinary/Practice staff participate training courses before the beginning of the year and will receive pedagogic instruction throughout the process.

## Part E - Quantitative Results

## E. 1 - Principle Quantitative Results for all of the Participants

1. There were 1,631 participants, across 40 schools and 30 communities in 2015.
2. $92.3 \%(1,505$ out of 1,631$)$ of the participants passed the matriculation exam in their obstacle subject/s.
3. The average final grade for those who passed the matriculation exam in their obstacle subject was 70.6. There is a standard deviation of 10.8 (the overall grade of the participants in the program, including those who did not pass, was 69.3, with a standard deviation of 11.8)10.

## Table 3 - Summary of the Quantitative Results of all the Participants in the Program

## 3.a - General Data for the Difficult Subject Program, 2015:

| Total Participants | 1,631 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Schools | 40 |
| Average Care Index of the Schools11 | 7.94 |
| Number of Communities | Math (3, 4, or 5 unit study), English ( 3 and 4 u.t), History (A/B), Citizenship (2 u.t), Bible (2), Language (A/B), Hebrew for <br> Arabic Speakers (3 u.t), Arabic (3), Literature (2 u.t), Heritage (1 heritage) |
| Number of Matriculation Exam Subjects | 10 |
| Breakdown of the <br> Subjects | 1,505 |
| Number of participants who passed their exam in the obstacle subject | $92.3 \%$ |
| Rate (\%) of participants who passed their exam in the obstacle subject, relative to the number <br> who began the program | 70.6 |
| Average final grade for those who passed the exam in their obstacle subject12 | 10.8 |
| Standard Deviation | 69.3 |
| Average final grade for all the participants in the program on their obstacle subject | 11.8 |
| Standard Deviation | 4 |
| Number of participants who dropped out from the program | $0.2 \%$ |
| Dropout rate from the program |  |

3.b - Participant Data, $12^{\text {th }}$ graders, 2015:

| Number of participants defined as students with obstacle subject | 994 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of participants from the group expected to earn their matriculation certificate (by <br> passing their obstacle subject) who actually earned it | 853 |
| Percentage of the above actual passers to those expected to pass | $85.8 \%$ |
| Number of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade participants defined as supplemental | 108 |
| Number of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade supplemental participants who earned their matriculation certificate by <br> passing their matriculation exams as a result of the program | 71 |
| Total number of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade participants who earned their matriculation certificate following <br> passing their obstacle subject | 924 |

3.c - Participant Data, $11^{\text {th }}$ graders, 2014 (and finished $12^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2015):

| Number of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade participants | 381 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade participants defined as students with obstacle subject | 327 |
| Number of $11^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade participants defined as students with obstacle subject who earned their <br> matriculation certificate at the end of the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade, in 2015 | 267 |
| Percentage of the above $12^{\text {th }}$ <br> with an obstacle subject in 2014 | $81.7 \%$ |


| Number of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade participants defined as supplemental who earned their matriculation <br> certificate at the end of their $12^{\text {th }}$ <br> exams in 2014 ) | 30 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total number of $11^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade participants who earned their matriculation certificate at the of $12^{\text {th }} 2015$ (following successfully passing their <br> grade <br> obstacle subjects and the supplemental students | 297 |

## 3.d - Eligibility for Matriculation, 2015

| Total number of students who earned their matriculation certificates by passing their <br> obstacle subject/s in $2015\left(12^{\text {th }}\right.$ <br> grade students in 2015 and the students who began in the <br> program as $11^{\text {th }}$ graders in 2014$)_{13}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

## E. 2 - Primary, quantitative results from the schools, which after training and an implementation process, ran the program independently

1. In 2015, the independent program had 245 participants in 17 schools across 10 communities.
2. $92.7 \%$ ( 227 out of 245 ) of the participants passed their matriculation exam in their obstacle subject.
3. The average final grade on the exam in the obstacle subject for these 227 participants who passed the exam was 69.8 (the average grade for all the students in the independent programs, including those who failed, was 68.6)14.
4. $90.1 \%$ of the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade participants who were expected to earn their matriculation certificate in the independent programs ( 137 out of 152 ) successfully earned it by passing the exam in their obstacle subject.
5. An additional 38 supplemental $12^{\text {th }}$ graders participated (again, students who had more than one obstacle subject preventing them from earning their matriculation certificate and who, even after passing, might not be eligible for the matriculation certificate). These students were allowed to join the program based on available spaces. 31 of them earned their matriculation certificate after passing their exams as a result of participating in the program.
6. The activities in the independent programs produced $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ students eligible for their matriculation certificate.

Table 4 - Summary of the quantitative results in the schools running the independent program

| Total number of Participants in the Program | 245 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Schools in the Program | 17 |
| Average Care Index of the Schools15 | 8.10 |
| Number of Communities in the Program | 10 |
| Number of Matriculation Subjects Taught | 7 |
| Breakdown of Subjects | $*$ Math (3 and 4 credits), *English (3), *Citizenship (2), *Bible (2), *Hebrew for Arabic Speakers (2), *Language <br> (A/B), *Literature (2) |
| Number of participants who passed their obstacle subject | 227 |
| Percentage of participants who passed their obstacle subject relative to the group | $92.7 \%$ |
| Average final grade of those who passed their obstacle subject 16 | 69.8 |
| Standard deviation | 10.1 |
| Average final grade of all the participants in the independent program | 68.6 |
| Standard deviation | 10.8 |
| Number of students who dropped out of the independent school program | 2 |
| Dropout rate | $0.8 \%$ |
| Number of 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ graders defined as students with obstacle subject 152 <br> Number of participants from the group expected to earn their matriculation certificate (by <br> passing their obstacle subject) who actually earned it 137 <br> Percentage of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade participants who earned their matriculation certificate (as a result of <br> passing their obstacle subject) $90.1 \%$ <br> Number of supplemental students who earned their matriculation certificate (as a result of <br> passing their obstacle subject) 31 l |  |

[^2]
## Total number of students in 2015 who earned their matriculation certificate as a result of passing their obstacle subject - obstacle subject students and supplemental students in the

*The 168 participants becoming eligible for their matriculation certificate is based on the total number who participated in the independent school program (including the double count of students who participated in more than one obstacle subject).

## Part F - Eligibility for the Matriculation Certificate among the 12th Grade Students in the Program

1. There were $994-12^{\text {th }}$ grade participants defined as having one obstacle subject preventing them from earning the matriculation certificate (see the definition on page 9).

853-12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade participants out of the 994 ( $85.8 \%$ ) earned their matriculation certificate following passing the exam in their obstacle subject.
(A breakdown of the participants and results by school, eligibility for matriculation certificates in 2015, results of the school mapping of the students taking the exams in 2015, the expected eligible students, and the number of actual eligible students among the $12^{\text {th }}$ graders is found in tables: $9,10,11,12,13$ in the addendum).
2. There were an additional $108-12^{\text {th }}$ grade students defined as supplemental students (see definition on page 9 ). 71 of them earned their matriculation certificate as a result of participating in the program.
3. There were a total of $\mathbf{9 2 4 - 1 2}{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade participants who earned their matriculation certificate in 2015.18
4. According to Part G (the following section in the report below), $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ participants with a obstacle subject (out of $327,81.7 \%$ ) who were in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2014, earned their matriculation certificate in 2015 and successfully finished $12^{\text {th }}$ grade as a result of passing their matriculation exam/s in their obstacle subject/s after participating in the program.
Additionally, $\mathbf{3 0}$ supplemental students earned their matriculation certificate following their participation in the program.
A total of $\mathbf{2 9 7}$ participants in the program who began as $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ graders in $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ earned their matriculation certificate at the time of their graduation in 2015.
5. In 2015, the program produced a total of $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 3}$ students earning their matriculation certificate. 19

## Part G - Tracking the Eligibility for their Matriculation Certificate among 11th Grade Students during the 2014 School Year who graduated 12th Grade in 2015

1. In 2014 , there were $327-11^{\text {th }}$ grade participants defined as having a obstacle subject (see Table 14).
2. At the end of the 2015 school year $\underline{\mathbf{2 6 7}}$ of these participants finished $12^{\text {th }}$ grade ( $\mathbf{8 1 . 7 \%}$ ) and earned their matriculation certificate as a result of passing the exams in their obstacle subject/s.
3. Additionally, 30 supplemental participants, earned their matriculation certificate following their participation in the program.
(For the breakdown of the total number of participants, the number of them expected to earn their matriculation certificate following completing the program in 2014, and the percentage of actual participants earning their certificate at the end of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2015 - see Tables 14,15 in the addendum).
[^3]
## Part H - Addendum





Table 8: Communities, Schools and Participants 2014/15

| Region | Community | School | Type | Grade | Subject | Units | students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | 2 | 13 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 20 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | * $801+802$ | 15 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 5 | 15 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 10 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 15 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Literature | 2 | 12 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 11 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 10 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 12 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Literature | 2 | 15 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Bible | 2 | 7 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 40 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 13 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 23 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | עצמאי | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 10 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 11 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 14 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 11 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 6 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 5 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 16 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Literature | 2 | 3 |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History A | A | 17 |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | 2 | 19 |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 15 |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Language A | A | 10 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Bible | 2 | 18 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 12 |
| South | Al-Kasom | Um Batin | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 10 |
| South | Al-Kasom | Um Batin | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 9 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 24 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 5 | 21 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 10 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 7 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 12 |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 21 |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 11 |
| South | Kseife | Abu Rabia | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 5 | 16 |
| South | Kseife | Abu Rabia | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 43 |
| South | Kseife | Elfarouk | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 24 |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 11 |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 12 |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 2 | 13 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu Krinat | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu Krinat | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 12 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu Krinat | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 2 | 17 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Alhwashla | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 12 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Alhwashla | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 10 |
| South | Arara | Alnur | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 21 |
| South | Arara | Arara | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 |
| South | Arara | Arara | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 10 |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 32 |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 9 |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 9 |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 12 |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 12 |

Table 8 Continued

| Region | Community | School | Type | Grade | Subject | Units | students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Segev Shalom | Makif Segev | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 10 |
| South | Segev Shalom | Makif Segev | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 9 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 8 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 8 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 2 | 24 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 24 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 7 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 8 |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 18 |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 16 |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 13 |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 18 |
| Haifa | Ussfiya | Ort Ronson | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 4 | 13 |
| Haifa | Ussfiya | Ort Ronson | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 16 |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 17 |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 5 | 19 |
| North | Julis | Julis | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 18 |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | heritage | 1 | 19 |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 13 |
| North | Yanuah Jat | Yanuah Jat | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 17 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 24 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 24 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | heritage | 1 | 24 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 24 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | 2 | 24 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 16 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 19 |
| North | Kisra Samia | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 16 |
| North | Kfar Yasif | Yni | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 11 |
| North | Kfar Yasif | Yni | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 13 |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | **804 | 22 |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 24 |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 3 | 21 |
| North | Mrar | Kasem Ghanem | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 14 |
| North | Mrar | Kasem Ghanem | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 3 | 16 |
| North | Majdel Shams | Majdel Shams | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 13 |
| North | Majdel Shams | Majdel Shams | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 3 | 14 |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 5 | 15 |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 27 |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 3 | 28 |
| North | Nazareth | Hagalil | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 36 |
| North | Sachnin | Jamal Tarbia | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 17 |
| North | Tiberias | Y. Tichonit | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 16 |
| North | K. Shemona | Danziger | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 8 |
| 3 | 30 | 40 | - | - | - | - | 1,631 |

[^4]Table 9: Participants defined as Last Hurdle according to preliminary mapping, and supplementary participants, 2014/15

| Region | Community | School | Type | Subject | Units | Participants defined as Last Hurdle by grade |  | Suppleme ntary participan ts | Participants of both Types by grade |  | Total \# of participants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $11^{\text {th }}$ | $12^{\text {th }}$ |  | $11^{\text {th }}$ | $12^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | History | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | Language | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | Math | 3 | 35 | 15 | 0 | 35 | 15 | 50 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | Math | 5 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 15 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | Literature | 2 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Ind. | Math | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Regular | Language | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | Math | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | Literature | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | Bible | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Ind. | Language | 2 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Regular | Math | 3 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 23 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Ind. | Language | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdisc | Regular | Civics | 2 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdisc | Regular | Math | 3 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 22 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdisc | Ind. | English | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdisc | Ind. | Language | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdisc | Ind. | Math | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdisc | Ind. | Literature | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Regular | History A | A | 15 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Regular | History | 2 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Regular | Math | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Ind. | Language A | A | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Regular | Math | 3 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 12 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Regular | Bible | 2 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 18 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Ind. | Civics | 2 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Al-Kasom | Um | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| South | Al-Kasom | Um | Ind. | English | 3 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | Civics | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | Math | 5 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 21 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Ind. | Civics | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Regular | Math | 3 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 21 |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Ind. | Civics | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| South | Kseife | Abu | Regular | Math | 5 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 16 |
| South | Kseife | Abu | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| South | Kseife | Elfarouk | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Ind. | Hebrew | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu | Regular | Math | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu | Ind. | Hebrew | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Alhwash | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Alhwash | Ind. | English | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| South | Arara | Alnur | Regular | Math | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| South | Arara | Arara | Regular | Math | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 24 |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Regular | English | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Ind. | English | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Ind. | Math | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
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Table 9 continued

| Region | Community | School | Type | Subject | Units | Participants defined as Last Hurdle by grade |  | Supplementar <br> y participants | Participants of both Types by grade |  | Total \# of participants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $11^{\text {th }}$ | $12^{\text {th }}$ |  | $11^{\text {th }}$ | $12^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Ind. | Civics | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| South | Segev | Makif Segev | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| South | Segev | Makif Segev | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Regular | Math | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Ind. | Civics | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Regular | Hebrew | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Ind. | Civics | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Ind. | Math | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal A | Regular | Language | 2 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal A | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | Regular | Math | 4 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| Haifa | Ussfiya | Ort Ronson | Regular | English | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| Haifa | Ussfiya | Ort Ronson | Regular | Math | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | Regular | Math | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | Regular | Math | 5 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 19 |
| North | Julis | Julis | Regular | Math | 4 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | Regular | Heritage | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| North | Yanuah Jat | Yanuah Jat | Regular | Civics | 2 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 17 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | Civics | 2 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | English | 3 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | Heritage | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | Math | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | History | 2 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| North | Kisra Samia | Interdiscipl | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| North | Kfar Yasif | Yni | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| North | Kfar Yasif | Yni | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | Math | 4 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | Math | *804 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | Hebrew | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| North | Mrar | Kasem | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| North | Mrar | Kasem | Regular | Hebrew | 3 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| North | Majdel | Majdel Shams | Regular | Math | 4 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 13 |
| North | Majdel | Majdel Shams | Regular | Hebrew | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | Math | 3 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | Math | 5 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 15 |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | Hebrew | 3 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 28 |
| North | Nazareth | Hagalil | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 36 |
| North | Sachnin | Jamal Tarbia | Regular | Arabic | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 |
| North | Tiberias | Y. Tichonit | Regular | Civics | 2 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| North | K. Shemona | Danziger | Regular | Civics | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| 3 | 30 | 40 | - | - | - | 396 | 994 | 241 | 529 | 1,102 | 1,631 |

*the group will complete 805 questionnaire (to achieve 4 units) in 2016 (in the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade).

Table 10: Participants and Results by School and Subject

| Region | Community | School | Type | Grade | Subject | Units | No. who starte d | No. who finish ed | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Dropo } \\ \text { uts } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Pct. <br> Dropout s | No. who Passed Obstacle Exam | Pct. Who Passed Obstacle Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | 2 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% | 13 | 100.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0.0\% | 18 | 90.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | *801+802 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 5 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 73.3\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Literature | 2 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 10.0\% | 9 | 90.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 91.7\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Literature | 2 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 60.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Bible | 2 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 14.3\% | 5 | 71.4\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0.0\% | 35 | 87.5\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 69.2\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0.0\% | 23 | 100.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8 | 72.7\% |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0.0\% | 13 | 92.9\% |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 80.0\% |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 93.8\% |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Literature | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | A | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 88.2\% |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | 2 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0.0\% | 19 | 100.0\% |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Language | A | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Bible | 2 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0.0\% | 18 | 100.0\% |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 91.7\% |
| South | Al-Kasom | Um Batin | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 60.0\% |
| South | Al-Kasom | Um Batin | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 11.1\% | 8 | 88.9\% |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 24 | 100.0\% |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 5 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0.0\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 90.0\% |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7 | 100.0\% |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0.0\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| South | Kseife | Abu Rabia | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 5 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 75.0\% |
| South | Kseife | Abu Rabia | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 43 | 43 | 0 | 0.0\% | 43 | 100.0\% |
| South | Kseife | Elfarouk | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 24 | 100.0\% |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 2 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% | 13 | 100.0\% |
| South | Neve | Abu Krinat | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Neve | Abu Krinat | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Neve | Abu Krinat | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 2 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0.0\% | 17 | 100.0\% |
| South | Neve | Alhwashla | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Neve | Alhwashla | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
| South | Arara | Alnur | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0.0\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| South | Arara | Arara | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Arara | Arara | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8 | 80.0\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0.0\% | 31 | 96.9\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 100.0\% |

In cooperation with
The Ministry of Education

Table 10 continued

| Region | Community | School | Type | Grade | Subject | Units | No. who starte d | No. who finishe d | Dropo uts | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Pct. } \\ \text { Dropout } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | No. who Passed Obstacle Exam | Pct. Who Passed Obstacle Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 100.0\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Segev Shalom | Makif Segev | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
| South | Segev Shalom | Makif Segev | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 100.0\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8 | 100.0\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Ind. | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8 | 100.0\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 2 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 14 | 58.3\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 19 | 79.2\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 85.7\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Ind. | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8 | 100.0\% |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal A | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | 2 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0.0\% | 18 | 100.0\% |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal A | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 16 | 15 | 1 | 6.3\% | 15 | 93.8\% |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% | 8 | 61.5\% |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 55.6\% |
| Haifa | Ussfia | Ort Ronson | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | 4 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% | 13 | 100.0\% |
| Haifa | Ussfia | Ort Ronson | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 93.8\% |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0.0\% | 17 | 100.0\% |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {t }}$ | Math | 5 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0.0\% | 18 | 94.7\% |
| North | Julis | Julis | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0.0\% | 18 | 100.0\% |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Heritage | 1 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0.0\% | 18 | 94.7\% |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 84.6\% |
| North | Yanuah Jat | Yanuah Jat | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 88.2\% |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 23 | 95.8\% |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | English | 3 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 23 | 95.8\% |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Heritage | 1 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 21 | 87.5\% |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 14 | 58.3\% |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | 2 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 23 | 95.8\% |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 93.8\% |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0.0\% | 17 | 89.5\% |
| North | Kisra Samia | Interdiscipl | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% | 16 | 100.0\% |
| North | Kfar Yasif | Yni | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| North | Kfar Yasif | Yni | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10 | 76.9\% |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math 4 Units | **804 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0.0\% | 20 | 90.9\% |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 4 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0.0\% | 24 | 100.0\% |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 3 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0.0\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| North | Mrar | Kasem | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0.0\% | 14 | 100.0\% |
| North | Mrar | Kasem | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 3 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% | 16 | 100.0\% |
| North | Majdel Shams | Majdel Shams | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {t }}$ | Math | 4 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.0\% | 13 | 100.0\% |
| North | Majdel Shams | Majdel Shams | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 3 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0.0\% | 14 | 100.0\% |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {t }}$ | Math | 5 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0.0\% | 12 | 80.0\% |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | 3 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0.0\% | 23 | 85.2\% |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | 3 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0.0\% | 27 | 96.4\% |
| North | Nazareth | Hagalil | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0.0\% | 28 | 77.8\% |
| North | Sachnin | Jamal Tarbia | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | 3 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0.0\% | 17 | 100.0\% |
| North | Tiberias | Y. Tichonit | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 93.8\% |
| North | K. Shemona | Danziger | Regular | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | 2 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 7 | 87.5\% |
| 3 | 30 | 40 | - | - | - | - | 1,631 | 1,627 | 4 | 0.2\% | 1,505 | 92.3\% |

[^5]Table 11: Eligibility for Matriculation among Students defined as Last Hurdle Criteria, 12 $^{\text {th }}$ grade 2014/15

| Region | Community | School | Type | $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students in 2015 Defined as Last Hurdle | Added Number of Matric. Certificates from this group* | Share of those defined as Last Hurdle eligible for Matric. Certificates* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | 38 | 36 | 94.7\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Ind. | 11 | 11 | 100.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Regular | 10 | 6 | 60.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | 7 | 5 | 71.4\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Regular | 39 | 36 | 92.3\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Ind. | 10 | 7 | 70.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Regular | 7 | 6 | 85.7\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Ind. | 10 | 10 | 100.0\% |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Regular | 24 | 22 | 91.7\% |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | 23 | 23 | 100.0\% |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Regular | 12 | 11 | 91.7\% |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Ind. | 11 | 10 | 90.9\% |
| South | Al-Kasom | Um Batin | Regular | 10 | 6 | 60.0\% |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Regular | 26 | 22 | 84.6\% |
| South | Hura | Alnur | Ind. | 12 | 11 | 91.7\% |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Regular | 4 | 3 | 75.0\% |
| South | Kseife | Abu Rabia | Regular | 47 | 40 | 85.1\% |
| South | Kseife | Elfarouk | Regular | 24 | 17 | 70.8\% |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Regular | 23 | 23 | 100.0\% |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | Ind. | 13 | 13 | 100.0\% |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu Krinat | Regular | 12 | 9 | 75.0\% |
| South | Neve Midbar | Alhwashla | Regular | 12 | 11 | 91.7\% |
| South | Neve Midbar | Alhwashla | Ind. | 10 | 10 | 100.0\% |
| South | Arara | Arara | Regular | 12 | 8 | 66.7\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Regular | 42 | 34 | 81.0\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | Ind. | 18 | 11 | 61.1\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Regular | 24 | 24 | 100.0\% |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | Ind. | 12 | 12 | 100.0\% |
| South | Segev Shalom | Makif Segev | Regular | 19 | 19 | 100.0\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Regular | 8 | 8 | 100.0\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Regular | 48 | 40 | 83.3\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Ind. | 15 | 14 | 93.3\% |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal A | Regular | 17 | 16 | 94.1\% |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | Regular | 25 | 16 | 64.0\% |
| Haifa | Ussfia | Ort Ronson | Regular | 29 | 29 | 100.0\% |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | Regular | 12 | 12 | 100.0\% |
| North | Julis | Julis | Regular | 18 | 18 | 100.0\% |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | Regular | 13 | 13 | 100.0\% |
| North | Yanuah Jat | Yanuah Jat | Regular | 7 | 6 | 85.7\% |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Regular | 35 | 32 | 91.4\% |
| North | Kisra Samia | Interdiscipl | Regular | 16 | 11 | 68.8\% |
| North | Kfar Yasif | Yni | Regular | 24 | 16 | 66.7\% |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Regular | 45 | 40 | 88.9\% |
| North | Mrar | Kasem Ghanem | Regular | 26 | 26 | 100.0\% |
| North | Majdel Shams | Majdel Shams | Regular | 18 | 14 | 77.8\% |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | 54 | 40 | 74.1\% |
| North | Nazareth | Hagalil | Regular | 36 | 25 | 69.4\% |
| North | Sachnin | Jamal Tarbia | Regular | 17 | 14 | 82.4\% |
| North | Tiberias | Y. Tichonit | Regular | 4 | 4 | 100.0\% |
| North | K. Shemona | Danziger | Regular | 5 | 3 | 60.0\% |
| 3 | 29 | 38 | - | 994 | 853 | 85.8\% |

*The Total number of students who eligible for a Matriculation certificate among the students with an Obstacle Subject, without double counting of those who participated more than one activity, is 792
For the calculation of the eligibility for Matriculation certificate rate compare to the prediction, this table present the data of all the participants, include of those who participated in more than one activity, and that is how the eligibility for Matriculation rate was calculated.

Table 12: Eligibility for Matriculation among Supplementary Students, $\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ grade 2014/15

| Region | Community | School | Type | Supplementary Students | Number of Supplementary Students Eligible for Matriculation* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Regular | 1 | 0 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Ind. | 27 | 23 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Regular | 1 | 0 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Ind. | 3 | 2 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Regular | 1 | 0 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Regular | 1 | 0 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | Ind. | 7 | 5 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Regular | 1 | 1 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Ind. | 1 | 1 |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Regular | 6 | 2 |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal A | Regular | 17 | 14 |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | Regular | 6 | 4 |
| North | Yanuah Jat | Yanuah Jat | Regular | 10 | 6 |
| North | Mrar | Kasem Ghanem | Regular | 4 | 4 |
| North | Masade | Masade | Regular | 7 | 4 |
| North | Tiberias | Y. Tichonit | Regular | 12 | 2 |
| North | K. Shemona | Danziger | Regular | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 11 | 14 | - | 108 | 71 |

*71 participants are Eligible for a Matriculation certificate (including students who participated in more than one activity). Actually, among the supplemental students, the number of students who are eligible for a Matriculation certificate is 69 (without double counting of those who participated in more than one activity).

Final comment for Tables 11, 12 :
Some students participated more than one activity. This students at first were defined as Supplemental students. After they successfully completed their Supplemental Subjects, when they were only one subject short from being Eligible for a matriculation certificate - they were redefined as students with Obstacle Subject.
That is why in the mathematical calculation that presented separately in table 11 (students with Obstacle Subject) and in table 12 (supplemental students) could be a duplication in the number of participants who are eligible for a matriculation certificate. For clarification, the total number of students who are eligible for a matriculation certificate (without double counting of those who participated in more than one activity) is 848 (see Table 13, also in paragraph c in the Definitions in page 9 ).

## Table 13: Summary Table, 2014/15

| Region | Community | School | Grade | Subject | Type | No. of Participants | Dropout Rate | Share of those who passed their Matric. Exams | Avg. final grade on Matric. Exams of all the participants* | No. of participants who Eligible for Matriculation | No. of <br> students who <br> Eligible for <br> Matriculation <br> (without <br> double <br> counting) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | Regular | 13 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 66.3 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) | 43 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 20 | 0.0\% | 90.0\% | 66.0 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math** | Regular | 15 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 93.0 | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 15 | 0.0\% | 73.3\% | 66.4 | 2 |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | Regular | 10 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 63.9 | 9 |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 15 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 76.1 | 14 |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Literature | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 67.0 | 11 |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Ind. | 11 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 74.1 | 11 |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | Regular | 10 | 10.0\% | 90.0\% | 64.1 | 6 | 30 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Ind. | 12 | 0.0\% | 91.7\% | 72.4 | 12 |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Literature | Ind. | 15 | 0.0\% | 60.0\% | 56.2 | 11 |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Bible | Ind. | 7 | 14.3\% | 71.4\% | 61.9 | 5 |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 40 | 0.0\% | 87.5\% | 66.0 | 36 | 41 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | Ind. | 13 | 0.0\% | 69.2\% | 58.2 | 9 |  |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 23 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 81.3 | 6 | 16 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | Ind. | 10 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 70.3 | 10 |  |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 11 | 0.0\% | 72.7\% | 78.9 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) | 33 |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Regular | 14 | 0.0\% | 92.9\% | 71.1 | 12 |  |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 11 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 70.5 | 10 |  |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | Ind. | 6 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 70.0 | 6 |  |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | Ind. | 5 | 0.0\% | 80.0\% | 58.0 | 4 |  |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Ind. | 16 | 0.0\% | 93.8\% | 69.2 | 16 |  |
| South | Ofakim | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Literature | Ind. | 3 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 66.0 | 2 |  |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History A | Regular | 17 | 0.0\% | 88.2\% | 66.4 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | Regular | 19 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 65.1 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 15 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 73.1 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| South | Ashkelon | Makif 5 | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Language A | Ind. | 10 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 58.7 | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ |  |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 72.7 | 9 | 21 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Bible | Regular | 18 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 64.8 | 3 |  |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Ind. | 12 | 0.0\% | 91.7\% | 66.3 | 11 |  |
| South | Al-Kasom | Um Batin | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 10 | 0.0\% | 60.0\% | 57.4 | 6 | 6 |
| South | Al-Kasom | Um Batin | $11^{\text {th }}$ | English | Ind. | 9 | 11.1\% | 88.9\% | 64.5 | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ |  |
| South | Hura | Alnur | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 80.0 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) | 33 |
| South | Hura | Alnur | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 21 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 80.2 | 9 |  |
| South | Hura | Alnur | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Regular | 10 | 0.0\% | 90.0\% | 68.9 | 7 |  |
| South | Hura | Alnur | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 7 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 68.6 | 6 |  |
| South | Hura | Alnur | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Ind. | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 76.9 | 11 |  |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 21 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 72.6 | 5 | 5 |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Ind. | 11 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 85.4 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| South | Kseife | Abu Rabia | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 16 | 0.0\% | 75.0\% | 70.2 | 4 | 40 |
| South | Kseife | Abu Rabia | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 43 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 66.5 | 36 |  |
| South | Kseife | Elfarouk | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 68.0 | 17 | 17 |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 11 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 85.6 | 11 | 34 |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 75.8 | 12 |  |
| South | Laqia | Laqia | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | Ind. | 13 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 73.8 | 13 |  |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu Krinat | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 78.9 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) | 9 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu Krinat | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 69.3 | 9 |  |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu Krinat | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | Ind. | 17 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 73.5 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| South | Neve Midbar | Alhwashla | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 62.8 | 11 | 21 |
| South | Neve Midbar | Alhwashla | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | Ind. | 10 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 73.4 | 10 |  |
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## Table 13 continued

| Region | Community | School | Grade | Subject | Type | No. of Participa nts | Dropout Rate | Share of those who passed their Matric. Exams | Avg. final grade on Matric. Exams of all the participants* | No. of participants who Eligible for Matriculation | No. of students who Eligible for Matriculation (without double counting) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Arara | Alnur | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 21 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 87.2 | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| South | Arara | Arara | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 90.1 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| South | Arara | Arara | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 75.8 | 8 |  |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | Regular | 10 | 0.0\% | 80.0\% | 59.3 | 4 |  |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 32 | 0.0\% | 96.9\% | 67.8 | 30 | 45 |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | Ind. | 9 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 61.9 | 7 |  |
| South | Rahat | Alnajah | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Ind. | 9 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 60.7 | 4 |  |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 75.7 | 12 |  |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 73.3 | 12 | 36 |
| South | Rahat | Alnur | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Ind. | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 78.1 | 12 |  |
| South | Segev Shalom | Makif Segev | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 10 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 86.1 | 10 |  |
| South | Segev Shalom | Makif Segev | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 9 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 77.0 | 9 |  |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 12 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 66.7 | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ |  |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 8 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 66.5 | 8 | 8 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Ind. | 8 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 67.0 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 58.3\% | 57.2 | 21 |  |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 79.2\% | 62.4 | 19 | 32 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Ind. | 7 | 0.0\% | 85.7\% | 59.7 | 7 |  |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Ind. | 8 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 75.3 | 7 |  |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal A | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Language | Regular | 18 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 63.2 | 16 |  |
| Haifa | Haifa | Municipal A | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 16 | 6.3\% | 93.8\% | 71.8 | 14 |  |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 13 | 0.0\% | 61.5\% | 62.6 | 4 |  |
| Haifa | D. El Carmel | Ort madaim | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 18 | 0.0\% | 55.6\% | 59.2 | 16 |  |
| Haifa | Ussfia | Ort Ronson | $12^{\text {th }}$ | English | Regular | 13 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 68.1 | 13 |  |
| Haifa | Ussfia | Ort Ronson | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 16 | 0.0\% | 93.8\% | 74.9 | 16 |  |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 17 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 84.2 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 19 | 0.0\% | 94.7\% | 67.4 | 12 |  |
| North | Julis | Julis | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 18 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 79.1 | 18 | 18 |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Heritage | Regular | 19 | 0.0\% | 94.7\% | 67.1 | 0 |  |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 13 | 0.0\% | 84.6\% | 56.4 | 13 |  |
| North | Yanuah Jat | Yanuah Jat | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Regular | 17 | 0.0\% | 88.2\% | 69.9 | 12 | 12 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 95.8\% | 66.7 | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ |  |
| North | Yarka | Achva | $11^{\text {th }}$ | English | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 95.8\% | 69.5 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| North | Yarka | Achva | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Heritage | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 87.5\% | 67.6 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) | 32 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 58.3\% | 61.2 | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right.$ ) | 32 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | $11^{\text {th }}$ | History | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 95.8\% | 64.1 | (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) |  |
| North | Yarka | Achva | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 16 | 0.0\% | 93.8\% | 63.9 | 15 |  |
| North | Yarka | Achva | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 19 | 0.0\% | 89.5\% | 65.6 | 17 |  |
| North | Kisra Samia | Interdiscipl | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 16 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 81.8 | 11 | 11 |
| North | Kfar Yasif | Yni | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 11 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 60.7 | 7 | 9 |
| North | Kfar Yasif | Yni | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 13 | 0.0\% | 76.9\% | 61.6 | 9 |  |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | $11^{\text {th }}$ | Math*** | Regular | 22 | 0.0\% | 90.9\% | 75.3 | $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ |  |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 24 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 77.1 | 24 | 39 |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | Regular | 21 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 61.0 | 16 |  |
| North | Mrar | Kasem | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 14 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 66.9 | 14 | 26 |
| North | Mrar | Kasem | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | Regular | 16 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 63.9 | 16 | 26 |
| North | Majdel Shams | Majdel Shams | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 13 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 82.8 | 3 |  |
| North | Majdel Shams | Majdel Shams | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | Regular | 14 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 67.6 | 11 | 14 |
| North | Masade | Masade | $11^{\text {th }} / 12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 15 | 0.0\% | 80.0\% | 63.8 | 6 |  |
| North | Masade | Masade | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Math | Regular | 27 | 0.0\% | 85.2\% | 69.0 | 19 | 41 |
| North | Masade | Masade | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Hebrew | Regular | 28 | 0.0\% | 96.4\% | 61.3 | 19 |  |

Table 13 continued

| Region | Community | School | Grade | Subject | Type | No. of Participant s | Dropout <br> Rate | Share of those who passed their Matric. Exams | Avg. final grade on Matric. Exams of all the participants* | No. of participants who Eligible for Matriculatio n | No. of students who Eligible for Matriculation (without double counting) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | Nazareth | Hagalil | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 36 | 0.0\% | 77.8\% | 57.0 | 25 | 25 |
| North | Sachnin | Jamal Tarbia | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Arabic | Regular | 17 | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 63.2 | 14 | 14 |
| North | Tiberias | Y. Tichonit | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Regular | 16 | 0.0\% | 93.8\% | 74.1 | 6 | 6 |
| North | K. Shemona | Danziger | $12^{\text {th }}$ | Civics | Regular | 8 | 0.0\% | 87.5\% | 63.5 | 6 | 6 |
| 3 | 30 | 40 | - | - | - | 1,631 | 0.2\% | 92.3\% | 69.3 | 924 | 848 |

*69.3 is the final average grade on matriculation exams of 1,604 participants ( not including 27 participants that from different reasons didn't got a grade participants who didn't came to the exam/ didn't reach the minimum score/dropout, or being suspected of cheating).
The final grade of the students that succeeded to pass the matriculation exams ( 1,505 succeeded) is 70.6 .
${ }^{* *}$ the group were tested in questionnaires 801,802 in 2015, and will complete 803 questionnaire (to achieve 3 units) in 2016 (in $12^{\text {th }}$ grade). That is why that their Average final grade on Matric. Exam is the Average of questionnaires 801, 802.
${ }^{* * *}$ the group were tested in questionnaire 804 in 2015, and will complete 805 questionnaire (to achieve 4 units) in 2016 (in $12^{\text {th }}$ grade). That is why that their Average final grade on Matric. Exam is the Average of questionnaire 804.
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Table 14: 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade Students in the 2014 Program, Eligibility for Matriculation Certificates with Completion of their 12th Grade Studies in 2015

| Region | Community | School | Subject | Number of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade, Defined as Last Hurdle, participants in previous year | No. of students in 2015 who participated in previous year and are Eligible for Matriculation* | Share of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students Eligibility for Matriculation who participated in previous year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 3 | Math | 18 | 15 | 83.3\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Makif 7 | Literature | 9 | 7 | 77.8\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rabin | Math | 21 | 18 | 85.7\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Math | 14 | 14 | 100.0\% |
| South | Beer Sheba | Amit | Math | 10 | 9 | 90.0\% |
| South | Gadera | Net. Noam | Math | 3 | 3 | 100.0\% |
| South | Gadera | Ramon | History | 13 | 13 | 100.0\% |
| South | Gadera | Ramon | Math | 19 | 19 | 100.0\% |
| South | Dimona | Zinman | History | 18 | 18 | 100.0\% |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Language | 5 | 3 | 60.0\% |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Math | 9 | 9 | 100.0\% |
| South | Kseife | Abu Rabia | Math | 12 | 7 | 58.3\% |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu Krinat | Math | 10 | 2 | 20.0\% |
| South | Neve Midbar | Abu Krinat | Hebrew | 12 | 9 | 75.0\% |
| South | Arara | Alnur | Math | 20 | 5 | 25.0\% |
| South | Arara | Arara | Civics | 10 | 10 | 100.0\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Civics | 6 | 5 | 83.3\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Math | 11 | 8 | 72.7\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | English | 10 | 10 | 100.0\% |
| South | Tel Sheva | Interdiscipl | Arabic | 12 | 8 | 66.7\% |
| North | Beit Jann | Beit Jann | Math | 11 | 11 | 100.0\% |
| North | Hurfesh | Hurfesh | Civics | 29 | 29 | 100.0\% |
| North | Yarka | Achva | Math | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% |
| North | Kisra Samia | Interdiscipl | Math | 15 | 15 | 100.0\% |
| North | Mrar | Makif 2 | Math | 24 | 16 | 66.7\% |
| North | Majdel Shams | Majdel | Math | 4 | 2 | 50.0\% |
| 2 | 15 | 22 | - | 327 | 267 | 81.7\% |

*The total number of students who eligible for a matriculation certificate among the students with an obstacle subject (without double counting of those who participated in more than one activity) is 257.
For the calculation of the eligibility for a matriculation certificate rate, compare to the prediction, this table present the data of all the participants, including those who participated in more than one activity, and that is how the eligibility for a matriculation certificate rate was calculated.

Table 15: 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade Students Defined as Supplemental in 2014, Rate of Earning a Matriculation Certificate with Completing 12th Grade in 2015

| Region | Community | School | Subject | Number of 11th grade, Defined as supplemental, participants in previous year | No. of students in 2015 who participated in previous year and are Eligible for Matriculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South | Beer Sheba | Rager | Math | 2 | 2 |
| South | Beer Sheba | Amit | Math | 5 | 5 |
| South | Gadera | Ramon | History | 2 | 1 |
| South | Gadera | Ramon | Math | 2 | 2 |
| South | K. Malachi | Amit | Language | 3 | 2 |
| South | Al-Kasom | Ort Tarabin | English | 5 | 2 |
| South | Hura | Elsalam | Math | 6 | 5 |
| South | Tel Sheva | Albian | Civics | 2 | 0 |
| North | Yarka | Achva | History | 5 | 4 |
| North | Kisra Samia | Interdiscipl | Arabic | 21 | 6 |
| North | Majdel Shams | Majdel Shams | Math | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 9 | 10 | - | 54 | 30 |

Final comment for Tables 14, 15:
Some $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students participated in more than one activity in 2014. This students at first were defined as Supplemental students. After they successfully completed their required supplemental subjects exams, and they are only one subject short from being eligible for matriculation certificate they were redefined as students with obstacle subject.
That is why in the mathematical calculation that presented separately in Table 14 (students with obstacle subject) and table 15 (supplemental students) could be a duplication in the number of participants who are eligible for a matriculation certificate.
For clarification, the total number of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students in 2014 who are eligible for matriculation in 2015, without double counting of those who participated in more than one activity is 285.
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[^0]:    The term "Care Index" was updated by the Chief Scientist of the Ministry of Education, Prof. Sidney Strauss, to describe the socio-economic level of the 3 school and the community. The "Care Index" ranges from 1-10. The higher the number the more disadvantaged the school and therefore the more care is required.
    1,631 participants include the double count of students who took part in more than one activity. There is a total of 1,417 individual students who took part 4 in the activities targeted at more than one obstacle subject.
    The average score for the 1,604 participants, including those who failed, was 69.3 (this excludes 27 participants who, for various reasons, did not receive a 5 grade - because they did not show up for the exam, did not reach the minimum grade, dropped out or were suspected of wrongdoing).
    The numbers in the report refer to the number of participants for each obstacle subject and not individual students (see Note 1 ), except when referring to 6 matriculation numbers. Matriculation figures actually refer to numbers of students, without the double calculation of students who took part in more than one group.
    The number of those eligible for matriculation out of all the participants was calculated in sections F - G. An analysis of those eligible generates 1,2217 participants eligible for a matriculation certificate. Furthermore, 88 students participated in more than one activity and were therefore counted more than once, thus creating a small deviation (for mathematical purposes only). In section H , the actual number of eligible students is shown (without double counting).

[^1]:    Introduction: The Accelerated Method for the Reduction of Learning Gaps (Educational Campaign) was first developed in the 1990's by Nissim (Max) Cohen, with the purpose of bringing more students to academic success and creating upward mobility for schools and students in the lower socio-economic strata.

[^2]:    The final grade of all the participants in the independent school program, including those who did not pass was 68.6 (not including 3 participants, who for 14 various reasons, did not receive a grade, as well as participants who did not show up for the exam or dropped out).
    See note 3.15
    See addendum 3, section E. 2 above. 16

[^3]:    This report is referring to the number of participants in the obstacle subject group, of which a small group joined in more than one activity (see note 1 ), 17 with the exception of when referring to eligibility for matriculation certificate. The data on the eligibility for matriculation refers to the number of eligible students, without the double count of the students who participated in more than one activity.

    Not including the 297-11 th grade students in 2014 eligible for additional matriculation exams who completed $12^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2015 . For a breakdown of 18 these participants - see the next section, Section G.
    1,063 students were eligible for their matriculation certificates, not including the double count of the students who participated in more than one group. 19 See note 7.

[^4]:    *the group will complete 803 questionnaire (to achieve 3 units) in 2016 (in the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade).
    ${ }^{* *}$ the group will complete 805 questionnaire (to achieve 4 units) in 2016 (in the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade).

[^5]:    **the group will complete 803 questionnaire (to achieve 3 units) in 2016 (in the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade).
    ${ }^{* *}$ the group will complete 805 questionnaire (to achieve 4 units) in 2016 (in the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade).

